

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/534,814	03/22/2000	Duane Charles Gates	2328-023 RI	9066	
75	7590 03/22/2004			EXAMINER	
Allan M. Lowe			PASCHALL, MARK H		
Lowe Hauptman Gopstein Gilman & Berner, LLP 1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 310			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA 22314			3742	12	
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004	. / /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		_ · ·
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
_	09/534,814	GATES, DUANE CHARLES
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark H Paschall	3742
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>07-23-03</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-58</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	• •	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority docu 	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
* See the attached detailed Office action for	•	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	• •	
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N 	(8) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/534,814

Art Unit: 3742

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 07-23-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In view of Applicants remarks the rejection over prior art Hama et al. has bee removed. The rejection under new matter stands. The declaration from Dr. Patrick has been reviewed and noted in the file. However, new matter, as pointed out in the previous office actions has been added to the application and Applicant has failed to point out line and page, where the basis for this new matter originates in the original disclosure. Note that the original disclosure described the coil as having first and second segments in series, not the three individual portions claimed. Applicant has argued that these new matter inclusions are inherent features, as does Dr. Patrick. However they are not considered inherent. Applicant should note that the coil specifics such as the planar relation of one turn of the coil to another and the spatial and planar locations of one coil portion to another have not been disclosed. How then can a patentable result of a more uniform etching occur without such disclosures . In paragraph 2 on page 4 in the response, Applicant has stated that the Examiner has failed to show that Hama et al necessarily has a coil having interior, intermediate and peripheral portions so that the density of the magnetic flux on the inner and outer portions of the coil exceeds the flux coupled to the plasma by the intermediate portion. For this reason the rejection was removed and such feature is not inherent. Applicant is saying that this feature in Hama et al is not inherent and at the same time saying that this feature is inherent (in the instant affidavit by Dr. Patrick). This reasoning is not understood, and is contradictory. The Examiner has been persuaded by Applicants

Application/Control Number: 09/534,814

Art Unit: 3742

response that the art rejection under Hama et al does not stand and the instant claims are not inherently taught in Hama et al. For this reason and the reasons set forth supra, the rejection under new matter stands and is made final.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on 703 308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/534,814

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0861.

> Mark H Paschall **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3742

MHPa

Page 4